# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

JUN 1 4 2002

APPLICANT(S):

Timothy R. Bratton et al.

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

APPLICATION NO.:

10/046,933

FILING DATE:

October 26, 2001

TITLE:

DELIVERING MEDIA DATA TO PORTABLE COMPUTING DEVICES

**EXAMINER:** 

Unassigned

**GROUP ART UNIT:** 

2161

ATTY, DKT, NO.:

21685-06158

2002

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Box DAC, Commissioner For Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on the date shown below:

Thomas L. Ewing, Reg. No. 34,328

**BOX DAC** 

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

### PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(e) REGARDING DRAWINGS DEPOSITED WITH ORGINAL APPLICATION NOW MISSING AT THE PATENT OFFICE

The Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application, dated April 5, 2002, received in the above-identified patent application, includes a Notice of Omitted Items stating that two (2) sheets of formal drawings containing Figure 4 and Figure 7 have been omitted. A copy of the Notice to File Missing Parts that contains the Notice of Omitted Items is attached hereto. Applicants will respond separately to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application. The instant petition addresses only the Notice of Omitted Items.

Applicants contend that the drawing sheets containing Figure 4 and Figure Ewere. deposited along with the entire application on October 26, 2001 in an Express Mail

envelope labeled EL734639595US.

stment date: 08/29/2002 AKELLEY 2/2002 AWONDAF1 00000158 10046933

21685/06158

36/12/2002 AWDNDAFI 00000158 10046933

ප

Applicants submit the following evidence as proof of the deposit of Figure 4 and Figure 7 on October 26, 2001:

- Return Postcard showing deposit of 12 drawing sheets, the Return Postcard having no items checked off as an indication of non-receipt in accordance with MPEP 503.
- Declarations in support of the petition from the two patent secretaries who sealed the application in Express Mail envelope labeled EL734639595US stating that the allegedly omitted figures were included with the application.

#### **Return Postcard**

The Applicants included a self-addressed return postcard with the instant application that properly identified all the items submitted. MPEP 503 states that the properly identified items include: "(a) applicant's name(s); (B) title of invention; (C) number of pages of specification, claims (for nonprovisional applications), and sheets of drawing; (D) whether oath or declaration is included; (E) a list of any additional forms included with the application (e.g., application transmittal form, application data sheet, fee transmittal form, and/or provisional application cover sheet); and (F) amount and manner of paying the fee." (MPEP 503, p. 500-13, emphasis added.) The instant return postcard states that 12 drawing sheets have been submitted. The drawing sheets corresponding to Figure 4 and Figure 7 are two of these 12 drawing sheets.

MPEP 503 states that the Patent Office's policy regarding return postcards is: "The person receiving the item(s) in the USPTO will check the listing on the postcard against the item(s) being filed to be sure they are properly identified and that all the items listed on the postcard are presently being submitted to the USPTO. If any of the items listed on the postcard are not being submitted to the USPTO, those items will be crossed off and the postcard initialed by the person receiving the items." MPEP 503, p. 500-15, emphasis added.

The postcard returned from the Patent Office has **no** items crossed off. A copy of the return postcard is attached hereto. Thus, the Patent Office has previously confirmed that all submitted items were received, including 12 sheets of drawings.

The Patent Office's policy regarding return postcards is: "A postcard receipt which itemizes and properly identifies the items which are being filed serves as *prima facie* evidence of receipt in the USPTO of all the items listed thereon on the date stamped thereon by the USPTO." (MPEP 503, p. 500-14). Accordingly, the instant petition <u>must</u> be granted since the Applicants followed the procedures stated in the MPEP and the return postcard states that all items had been received.

# **Declarations in Support of Petition**

Applicants further include declarations from the two patent secretaries who prepared the application for filing and who sealed the application in its Express Mail envelope for delivery to the Patent Office. See, Statement in Support of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.53(e) by Aurelia Nolen and Statement in Support of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.53(e) by Patricia Floris. These declarations describe the procedures followed in preparing the allegedly omitted drawings and of the return postcard, and the secretaries' confirmation that both of the allegedly omitted drawings were sealed in the same Express Mail package that contained the rest of the application filed on October 26, 2001.

# **Conclusion**

Applicants have now promptly established prior receipt in the USPTO of the allegedly omitted drawing(s) at issue by way of: 1) a date-stamped postcard receipt, and 2) declarations from the two persons who sealed the application in the Express Mailing envelope that the allegedly omitted drawings were, in fact, submitted.

Applicants have now provided more than sufficient evidence to show that omitted drawings were submitted to the Patent Office. Applicants further observe that this application was filed at the time of a postal emergency that affected the Patent Office.

See, e.g., The Patent Office Notice entitled: "Patent Applications submitted to the United

States Patent and Trademark Office between September 11, 2001 and December 1, 2001 via the United States Postal Service." Applicants further observe that they did not receive the return postcard itself until February 11, 2002 (nearly four months after the application's filing). Applicants still further observe that the return postcard received in the instant application is considerably discolored and contains what appears to be some form of machine processing mark in its lower right corner. Applicants suspect that the postcard, as well as the entire application, may have undergone some form of decontamination procedure, and that this decontamination procedure may have caused Figures 4 and 7 to be misplaced. While this, of course, is a speculation on Applicants' part, the fact nevertheless remains that <u>all</u> the drawings, including Figures 4 and 7, were submitted to the Patent Office on October 26, 2001. <u>Accordingly, favorable action on this instant petition is respectfully solicited</u>.

In support of this petition, Applicants submit a copy of the return postcard, which was stamped and filed with the Patent and Trademark Office, indicating the number of drawings included in the filing of the subject application.

Applicants submit herewith the required petition fee of \$130.00.

Respectfully submitted, TIMOTHY R. BRATTON *ET AL*.

Dated: <u>May 28 2002</u>

Thomas L. Ewing, Reg. No. 34,328

Fenwick & West LLP Two Palo Alto Square Palo Alto, CA 94306

Tel.: (415) 875-2336 Fax: (415) 281-1350

#### IN THE UNITED STATES

# PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

APPLICANT(S):

Timothy R. Bratton et al.

JUN 1 4 2002

**APPLICATION NO.:** 

10/046,933

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

FILING DATE:

October 26, 2001

TITLE:

DELIVERING MEDIA DATA TO PORTABLE COMPUTING DEVICES

**EXAMINER:** 

Unassigned

GROUP ART UNIT:

2161

ATTY. DKT. NO.:

21685-06158

# STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(e)

#### Dear Sir:

This Declaration of Facts is made to establish that Figure 4 and Figure 7 were included in the submission of the above-identified application on October 26, 2001. This Declaration of Facts is being made by a person having first-hand knowledge of the facts recited herein.

#### I, AURELIA NOLEN, state the following:

- 1. I am a patent secretary at the law firm of Fenwick & West LLP in San Francisco, California.
- 2. I prepared for filing the patent application now accorded the serial number 10/046,933 for filing on October 26, 2001.
- 3. I attached a label to each of the 12 sheets of formal drawings that were included in the application, each label including a drawing sheet number, the application title, the inventor's name, the attorney docket number, and the express mail number.
- 4. I verified that the application contained all 12 drawing sheets, including drawing sheet 7 (Fig. 4) and drawing sheet 10 (Fig. 7). A true copy of Figure 4 (drawing sheet 7) is attached as Exhibit A, and a true copy of Figure 7 (drawing sheet 10) is attached as Exhibit B.

I prepared a filing receipt postcard that accompanied the application submission, 5.

the post card affirming that 12 sheets of formal drawings had been submitted.

I sealed the application, including the 12 sheets of formal drawings, in a single

envelope, bearing the Express Mail No. EL734639595US, that was deposited with the U.S. Postal

Service on October 26, 2001. A true copy of the Express Mail filing receipt for this envelope is

attached at Exhibit C.

I reviewed the above-identified postcard following its return from the U.S. Patent

Office and observed that the number of drawing sheets received has not been crossed off (or

otherwise marked) by the patent office staff who reviewed the postcard. A true copy of the

postcard is attached as Exhibit D.

Based upon the foregoing, my belief is that Fenwick & West LLP did include

Figure 4 and Figure 7 in the above-referenced application.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein to the best of my knowledge are true and

that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these

statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are

punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States

Code and that such willful statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent

issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

Aurelia Nolen

Dated: May 24, 2002



COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED



EXPRESS POST OFFICE MAIL UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE® TO ADDRESSEE	54.
ORIGIN (POSTAL USE ONLY) PO ZIR Zoddy	SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR USPS SERVICE GUARANTEE AND LIMITS ON INSURANCE COVERAGE  WAIVER OF SIGNATURE (Donestic Only). Additional insurance is used if waiver.
Weight Cop Fee Insurance Fee I	of stignature to requisitated. I sett delivery to be made without containing agreement and authorize that seeme to appear the delivery employee unique and a ratice can be left in secure boation) and i sustroitze that secure boation and i sustroitze that delivery employees signature observables visit proof of delivery that the proof of t
FROM: PLEASE PRINT PHONE    FENWICK & WEST  275 BATTERY ST STE 1500  SAM FRANCISCO & CA 94111-3334  Thomas Ewing/an  21685-06158	**BOX PATENT APPLICATION COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS WASHINGTON  PHONE DOC 20231-9948
(October 26, 2001)  FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING CALL 1-80	0-222-1811 www.usps.com 写图

# OTPE IN 1 1 2002

# COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

#### EXHIBIT D

Application No.: NEW	Docket No.: 21685-06158
Applicants: Timothy R. Bratton et el. Title: DELIVERING MEDIA DATA TO PORTABI	E COMPUTING DEVICES
	on to indicate receipt and then return card to addre
48. pages of Specification, Claims & Abstract	
	35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(i)
☑ 12 sheets of formal drawings	☐ Amendment/Response
Provisional Application Cover Sheet	☐ Response to Notice to File Missing Parts
New Utility Application Transmittal	Request to Correct Filing Receipt
☐ Transmittal	☐ IDS, PTO-1449, and cited references
Fee Transmittal (in duplicate)	☐ Issue Fee Transmittal
☐ Declaration	☐ Letter to Chief Draftsperson
Power of Attorney or Authorization ef Agent	Request for Certificate of Correction
Assignment & Recordation Cover Sheet	☐ Small Entity Assertion Under 37 CFR 1.27
CPA Request Transmittal	☐ First Class Certificate of Mailing
Application Data Sheet	Box Patent Application
Check in the amount of \$ 11046 U.S.	
Other:10/046933	:,
	21685-0



DEDLY MARK

FIRST-CLASS MAIL

PERMIT NO. 4

PAIO AITO CA

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

ATTN PATENT DEPARTMENT FENWICK & WEST LLP
TWO PALO ALTO SQUARE PALO ALTO CA 94306-9752

FEB 1 1 2002

CTWYTH & WEST LLP

Adontolo Hallandi dalah dalah

NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES





# IN THE UNITED STATES

#### PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

APPLICANT(S):

Timothy R. Bratton et al.

JUN 1 4 2002

**APPLICATION NO.:** 

10/046,933

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

FILING DATE:

October 26, 2001

TITLE:

DELIVERING MEDIA DATA TO PORTABLE COMPUTING DEVICES

**EXAMINER:** 

Unassigned

GROUP ART UNIT:

2161

ATTY. DKT. NO.:

21685-06158

# STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(e)

#### Dear Sir:

This Declaration of Facts is made to establish that Figure 4 and Figure 7 were included in the submission of the above-identified application on October 26, 2001. This Declaration of Facts is being made by a person having first-hand knowledge of the facts recited herein.

#### I, PATRICIA FLORIS, state the following:

- 1. I am a patent secretary at the law firm of Fenwick & West LLP in San Francisco, California.
- 2. I assisted Aurelia Nolen prepare for filing the patent application now accorded the serial number 10/046,933 for filing on October 26, 2001.
- 3. I verified that Mrs. Nolen attached a label to each of the 12 sheets of formal drawings that were included in the application, each label including a drawing sheet number, the application title, the inventor's name, the attorney docket number, and the express mail number.
- 4. I verified that the application contained all 12 drawing sheets, including drawing sheet 7 (Fig. 4) and drawing sheet 10 (Fig. 7). See, Exhibits A and B of the Declaration of Aurelia Nolen for true copies of Figure 4 (drawing sheet 7) and Figure 7 (drawing sheet 10).

5. I reviewed the filing receipt postcard that accompanied the application

submission, the post card affirming that 12 sheets of formal drawings had been submitted.

I verified that Aurelia Nolen sealed the application, including the 12 sheets of

formal drawings, in a single envelope, bearing the Express Mail No. EL734639595US, that was

deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on October 26, 2001. See, Exhibit C of the Declaration of

Aurelia Nolen for a true copy of the Express Mail filing receipt.

I reviewed the above-identified postcard following its return from the U.S. Patent

Office and observed that the number of drawing sheets received has not been crossed off (or

otherwise marked) by the patent office staff who reviewed the postcard. See, Exhibit D of the

Declaration of Aurelia Nolen for a true copy of the postcard.

Based upon the foregoing, my belief is that Fenwick & West LLP did include

Figure 4 and Figure 7 in the above-referenced application.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein to the best of my knowledge are true and

that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these

statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are

punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States

Code and that such willful statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent

issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia Floris

Dated: May 24, 2002

By: Yatricia a. Floris

21685/06158/SF/5076344.1